

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLIC	ATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/	696,458	10/29/2003	William E. Slack	PO-7963/MD-02-111	6016	
15	7590	02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
_		ERIAL SCIENCE LLC	SERGENT, RABON A			
	0 BAYER RO. TTSBURGH,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1711		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/696,458	SLACK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be selected will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this con IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 (	October 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	<del>-</del> ' '	, ,	2 1 121/d\			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, -,	*	` '			
	Adminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form 1	J-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		<del></del> ,				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	/ed.				
			•			
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/29/03,3/14/05</u> .	6) Other:	,,	•			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	Date 020406			

Art Unit: 1711

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-10 and 19 in the reply filed on October 28, 2005 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 1-10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicants have failed to specify the type of molecular weight (i.e.; weight average or number average) for component (C) or the means by which it has been determined. Absent this information, the component cannot be properly identified without having to resort to undue experimentation. *In* re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, it is unclear what constitutes "higher functionality polyisocyanates of the diphenylmethane series. The language is subjective and it cannot be determined quantitatively what functionalities are encompassed by the language.

Secondly, with respect to claim 19, within sections (2)(E) and (2) (F), it is unclear how to interpret the language, "comprised from", in that it is unclear if the language provides for derivatives of the recited isocyanate species. The language is considered to be distinct from "comprised of" or "comprising from". Furthermore, no basis has been set forth for the claimed percent values. In the absence of a basis, it cannot be determined how to interpret the percent contents of the composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,458

Art Unit: 1711

4.

Lastly, applicants have required that the storage stable polyisocyanates of the claims

contain allophanate groups; however, applicants' process states that the allophanation catalyst is

optional. Clarification is required with respect to how the allophanation reaction proceeds in the

absence of an allophanation catalyst.

The invention is deemed to be allowable over the prior art of record. The most relevant

prior art is considered to be U.S. 6,515,125 to Slack et al.; however, Slack et al. ('125) fail to

disclose the allophanation reaction of the instant invention. Furthermore, while Slack et al.

(125) disclose the reaction of the trimerized polyisocyanate with an active hydrogen component

that meets applicants' component (C), the addition and reaction of the active hydrogen

component occurs after the trimerization catalyst has been poisoned; therefore, in the absence of

a catalyst or other conditions to promote the allophanation reaction, it is not seen that this

process would inherently yield an allophanation product.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (571) 272-1079.

PABON SERGENT

Page 3

R. Sergent

February 4, 2006